# USACE Rehabilitation and Inspection Program (RIP)

RTLAND DISTRICT

Serving the Nati

Lance Helwig, P.E.

Chief, Engineering and Construction Division

Jason McBain Levee Safety Program Manager

Portland District November 14, 2014



US Army Corps of Engineers BUILDING STRONG<sub>®</sub>

### **RIP Program Overview**

- Authority and Policy
- Types of Inspections
- RIP eligibility requirements
- System Wide Improvement Framework (SWIF)
- Why be in the RIP?
- Next steps for Pen1 and Pen2



## **RIP** Authority and Policy

- Authority established under PL 84-99
- ER 500-1-1 (Civil Emergency Management Program Procedures)
- The RIP is the USACE program that provides for the inspection and rehabilitation of Federal and non-Federal flood control projects.
- The principle reason the RIP exists is to ensure continuation of reliable protection – flood damage reduction – for people's lives, communities, and improved property.
- Inspection of Completed Works (ICW) Program
  - O&M funded program within the RIP that addresses Federally-constructed flood damage reduction projects turned over to non-Federal sponsors for operations and maintenance.
  - ICW function in the RIP is the funding for Continuing Eligibility <u>Inspections</u> (CEI) of Federal projects.



## **Types of Inspections**

#### Routine Inspections (used for CEI)

- generally 2 year frequency
- Usually two-member team
- Purpose: ensure sponsor is maintaining and operating to USACE standards
- Periodic Inspections
  - 5 year frequency
  - Multi-discipline team

• Purpose: more rigorous and detailed inspection, includes hydrologic criteria, closer look at pump stations by ME/EE.





#### **BUILDING STRONG**®

# **RIP Eligibility Requirements**

- To maintain eligibility ("active"), Interim Guidance:
  - ► No 'Unacceptable' ratings in 18 key inspection criteria
  - If one segment of a multi-segment system receives an 'unacceptable' rating on any one of the 18 key criteria, the entire system will be deemed ineligible
  - Common 'unacceptable' criteria: Encroachments, Slope Stability, Erosion, Animal Control, Culverts, Toe Drains/Relief Wells, Closure Structures
- Segments/Systems are rated by making risk-informed decisions
  - Varying factors affect risk:
    - Different materials: embankment, construction techniques
    - Complexity: floodwalls, closure structures, pump structures
    - Consequences: varying levels being protected (high residential, critical infrastructure, agricultural)
    - Emergency Preparedness Plans; prioritizing maintenance; risk communication
    - Authorized Flood Frequency/Elevations: vary within NWP levee portfolio, not just a 1% chance (100 year) event
- If system is rated "Unacceptable", the SWIF is best option for sponsor



# System-Wide Improvement Framework (SWIF)

- A SWIF is a short-term mitigation plan for maintenance and operation deficiencies
  - Sponsor develops a Letter of Intent (LOI)
  - LOI must be approved by HQUSACE
  - Sponsor develops SWIF
  - SWIF must be approved by HQUSACE
  - Systems with approved SWIFs will maintain eligibility (active) in the RIP if milestones/mitigation per SWIF are met
  - SWIF typically spans 1 to 2 years



# Why be eligible/active in the RIP?

- Preparedness/Flood Fighting Assistance:
  - ALL systems (active and inactive) can receive flood-fight assistance from USACE during a flood
- Rehabilitation (repairs) Assistance:
  - Eligible systems can be rehabilitated after a flood event with Federal \$
  - For Federal systems, 100% Fed \$; for non-Federal system, cost share 80% Fed/20% sponsor
  - Rehab includes catastrophic breach AND non-breach repairs to PRE-FLOOD condition
  - Betterments not allowed





#### **PORTLAND DISTRICT**

#### **BUILDING STRONG**®

### RIP – Next Steps for Pen1 and Pen2

- Currently Pen1 & Pen2 are active in RIP
- Next Routine Inspection, summer 2015
- Issues ("U's") from last inspection:
  - Pen1: culverts (outfalls; flap gates & inspections), toe drains, floodwall encroachments
  - ▶ Pen2: encroachments, slump areas (rodents), toe drains/relief wells
- Importance of Encroachments
  - Critical for operations and maintenance
  - Potential for impacts to stability and seepage
  - Potential for hindering flood fighting, including monitoring
- NFIP v RIP, common ground
  - ► Engineering: encroachments (under investigation); risk communication
  - Construction: TBD

